To, Shri R.S.Sharma, Chairman, TRAI Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, MahanagarDoorsancharBhawan, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg (old Minto Road) New Delhi-110 002

Sub.: Reviely of IUe by TIC-\

Sir.

Telecom serv'ice users have benefitted from introduction of cheap 4G services in past 3 years, which have resulted in drastic lowering of call rates. However call rates could not go below a threshold due to imposition of **rue** on terminating calls. **IUC** is a vestige of earlier generation telecom technologies.

However with packet switching introduced by 4G technology meant virtually zero cost for terminating network. \'V'hen TRAI hau decided two years ago that rue would be phased out from 1sr January 2020 then it was with the assumption that all old networks would have time for upgradation to latest 4G technology. 4G technology has been deployed across the world for more than ten years now.

Thus, non-upgradation of network by a telecom operator 1s a conscious and deliberate choice of the operators. It would be fitting then if cost of such decision of continuing with legacy networks is borne by the operators itself. By no stretch of imagination, customers using 4G technology can be asked to bear the cost and consequences of capital savings by operators running legacy networks.

It amounts to favouring technologically obsolete networks shying away from investment. Continuation of **rue** would be a grim throwback to the old days of license permit Raj where bureaucratic whims could smother innovation and technology.

S. Aranechalan.